

Challenges in Relating Accelerated Aging Results to Service Life

Nigel Hampton

NEETRAC

October 2011

**Most test protocols are based on
what is pragmatically possible**

**Protocols are designed to avoid
“known” poor performance**

Thus protocols are not designed to

- Distinguish if A is better than B
- Determine if C will last “x” years

The general assumption is that higher strength or longer times are better – how that relates to life is unclear

However, much of the data available to us come from such tests

Some Challenges / Concerns

- Size
 - Length – we can test short lengths but we want to infer performance on long lengths
 - Big vs Small – we can test small cables but we want to infer performance on big cables

Some Challenges / Concerns

- Size
 - Length – we can test short lengths but we want to infer performance on long lengths
 - Big vs Small – we can test small cables but we want to infer performance on big cables
- Relevance
 - Success Criteria – how good an indicator of life is high ACBD values
 - Accelerating Factors – do the factors we use, accelerate the things that really happen in service

Some Challenges / Concerns

- Size
 - Length – we can test short lengths but we want to infer performance on long lengths
 - Big vs Small – we can test small cables but we want to infer performance on big cables
- Relevance
 - Success Criteria – how good an indicator of life is high ACBD values
 - Accelerating Factors – do the factors we use, accelerate the things that really happen in service
- Uncertainty in results used for design

Some Challenges / Concerns


- Size
 - Length – we can test short lengths but we want to infer performance on long lengths
 - Big vs Small – we can test small cables but we want to infer performance on big cables
- Relevance
 - Success Criteria – how good an indicator of life is high ACBD values
 - Accelerating Factors – do the factors we use, accelerate the things that really happen in service
- Uncertainty in results used for design

Aged Model Cable Tests

- Test Method
 - Voltage: 9 kV/50 Hz
 - Water: inside & outside
 - Inner temp: 85 °C
 - Outer temp: 70 °C
 - Ageing time: 1000 hours


- Evaluation
 - AC breakdown stress
 - Number of trees
 - Size of trees

Hampton, Smedberg & Wald ISEI 2006




Aged Model Cable Data


1000h at 75/80C with 6kV/mm applied



Min, Max & Mean Stresses



MV Stresses from AEIC / ICEA




Some Challenges / Concerns

- Size
 - Length – we can test short lengths but we want to infer performance on long lengths
 - Big vs Small – we can test small cables but we want to infer performance on big cables
- Relevance
 - Success Criteria – how good an indicator of life is high ACBD values
 - Accelerating Factors – do the factors we use, accelerate the things that really happen in service
- Uncertainty in results used for design

Impact on HV design methods

$$E_{Design} = \frac{E_{Breakdown}}{K_{Thermal} K_{Age} K_{Safety}}$$

Breakdown Strength



Pesche Jicable 1995

Example - Uncertainty in Ageing Factor

$$k_1 = \sqrt[12]{\frac{30 \times 365 \times 24}{1}} = 2.83$$

n (@ 30 yrs)	k_1
13	2.6
12	2.8
11	3.6


Life (yrs) (@ n=12)	k_1
20	2.7
30	2.8
40	2.9

The 14.4mm estimate
could be as high 17mm or as low as 13mm


Some Challenges / Concerns

- Size
 - Length – we can test short lengths but we want to infer performance on long lengths
 - Big vs Small – we can test small cables but we want to infer performance on big cables
- Relevance
 - Success Criteria – how good an indicator of life is high ACBD values
 - Accelerating Factors – do the factors we use, accelerate the things that really happen in service
- Uncertainty in results used for design

Bathtub Reliability Curve




- We tend to track service failures as a lumped rate rather than a function of time



- ACLT results can potentially be related to service performance
- We define quality (AWTT, CENELEC) of accelerated tests by absolute breakdown strength & retained breakdown

MV Qualification – 2 year data




Qualification – adjustment


$$\alpha_{actual} = \alpha_{ref} \left(\frac{V_{ref}}{V_{actual}} \right)^{1/\beta}$$

Longer,
Larger


Shorter,
Smaller




How does length change things



What if only have the min req



What if we qualified a small cable



Conclusions

- Data from existing protocols are useful
- Data need to be handled carefully

Open Issues

- How do both test and service data get considered
- How are accessory interactions included
- How does the full test experience get included

Thank You